

---

E2310

Author(s): Hal Forsey, Simeon Reich, Pavel Kostyrko and Charles Schelin

Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 79, No. 7 (Aug. - Sep., 1972), pp. 775-777

Published by: Taylor & Francis, Ltd. on behalf of the Mathematical Association of America

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2316278>

Accessed: 28-02-2018 14:27 UTC

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <http://about.jstor.org/terms>



JSTOR

*Mathematical Association of America, Taylor & Francis, Ltd.* are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*

**Distinct Representatives for a Collection of Finite Sets**

E 2309 [1971, 792]. *Proposed by Václav Chvátal, University of Waterloo, Ontario*

Prove the following: Let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be finite sets. If

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \frac{|A_1| \cdots |A_n|}{|A_i| \cdot |A_j|} < 1,$$

then the sets  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  have a system of distinct representatives (i.e., there are  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  such that  $a_i \in A_i$  and  $a_i \neq a_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ).

*Solution by the proposer.* There are exactly  $|A_1| \cdot |A_2| \cdots |A_n|$  mappings

$$(1) \quad f: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$$

such that  $f(i) \in A_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The problem asks if there exists a function of type (1) which is one-to-one. If a function  $h$  is of type (1) and is not one-to-one, then there are at least two distinct integers  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $h(i) = h(j)$ . The number of mappings of type (1) which are not one-to-one then does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |A_i \cap A_j| \frac{|A_1| \cdot |A_2| \cdots |A_n|}{|A_i| \cdot |A_j|} \\ &= |A_1| \cdot |A_2| \cdots |A_n| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{|A_i \cap A_j|}{|A_i| \cdot |A_j|} \\ &< |A_1| \cdot |A_2| \cdots |A_n| \quad (\text{by hypothesis}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the number of functions of type (1) which are not one-to-one is strictly less than the number of functions of type (1) and hence the conclusion follows.

Also solved by the Bennett College Team, D. M. Bloom, Bobby Chapuis & C. C. Rousseau, John Christopher, M. G. Greening (Australia), David Kelly, Harry Lass, Robert Patenaude, David Sumner, and J. H. Timmermans (Netherlands).

**A Categorical Impossibility**

E 2310 [1971, 793]. *Proposed by Hal Forsey, San Francisco State College*

Does there exist a positive function  $f$  such that if  $x$  is rational and  $y$  is irrational, then  $f(x)f(y) \leq |x - y|$ ?

*I. Solution by Simeon Reich, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa.* The answer is no. Let  $R$ ,  $I$ , and  $Q$  denote the reals, irrationals, and rationals respectively, and

suppose that the desired  $f$  exists. We note first that if  $\{r_n\}$  is a sequence of rationals which converges to an irrational, then  $f(r_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and likewise if  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence of irrationals which converges to a rational, then  $f(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Now let  $g: R \rightarrow R$  agree with  $f$  on  $I$  and vanish on  $Q$ . Then  $Q$  is the set of points where  $g$  is continuous, so that it must be a  $G_\delta$  set. But it is not, by the Baire Category Theorem.

Alternatively, we can let  $h: R \rightarrow R$  agree with  $f$  on  $Q$  and vanish on  $I$ . Since  $h$  is Lipschitzian on  $I$  and discontinuous on  $Q$ ,  $I$  must be a set of the first category by Theorem 4 of G. A. Heuer, *A property of functions discontinuous on a dense set*, this MONTHLY, 73 (1966), 378–379. But it is not, again by the Baire Category Theorem.

II. *Solution by Pavel Kostyrko, Bratislava, Czechoslovakia.* We characterize such functions in the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space, and suppose that  $X \subseteq M$  and  $Y = M \setminus X$ . Then there exists a (strictly) positive function  $f: M \rightarrow R$  such that*

$$(1) \quad f(x)f(y) \leq d(x, y) \text{ for all } x \in X, y \in Y$$

*if and only if both  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $F_\sigma$  sets in  $M$ . [Note that if  $X = \emptyset$  or  $Y = \emptyset$ , then (1) is vacuously satisfied—Ed.]*

*Proof.* Suppose that such a function  $f$  exists and let  $X_n = \{x \in X: f(x) \geq 1/n\}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We show that  $\bar{X}_n \subseteq X$  for all  $n$ , where  $\bar{Z}$  denotes the closure of  $Z$  in  $M$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exists a positive integer  $m$  and a  $y$  such that  $y \in \bar{X}_m \setminus X$ . Then  $y \in Y$  and there exists a sequence  $\{x_k\}$  of elements of  $X_m$  such that  $x_k \rightarrow y$ . Whence  $f(y)/m \leq f(x_k)f(y) \leq d(x_k, y) \rightarrow 0$ , implying that  $f(y) = 0$ , a contradiction. It follows that

$$X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{X}_n \subseteq X,$$

so that  $X$  is an  $F_\sigma$  set in  $M$ . The proof for  $Y$  is analogous.

Conversely, suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $F_\sigma$  sets in  $M$ . Write  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n^*$ , where  $F_n$  and  $F_n^*$  are closed for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and where we assume without loss of generality that  $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \dots$ , and  $F_1^* \subseteq F_2^* \subseteq \dots$ . The function  $f$  is defined as follows: If  $x \in X$ , let  $n(x)$  denote the least positive  $n$  such that  $x \in F_n$ . Then define  $f(x) = \min\{d(x, F_{n(x)}^*), 1\}$ . If  $y \in Y$ , define  $f(y)$  analogously. It can then be verified by checking cases that  $f$  has the required properties.

The problem is now solved by noting that the set of irrationals in  $R$  with the usual metric is not an  $F_\sigma$  set by the Baire Category Theorem.

III. *Solution by Charles Schelin, Wisconsin State University, La Crosse.* The answer is no. Suppose, to the contrary, that such a function exists. Let  $Q$  denote the set of rationals and  $H$  the set of irrationals. We note that if  $x$  is irrational and  $y$  is rational (or vice versa) then

$$(*) \quad f(x) \leq \frac{1}{f(y)} |x - y|.$$

Let  $I_0$  be any compact interval. Choose  $x_1 \in H \cap I_0^o$ , where  $I_0^o$  is the interior of  $I_0$ . By (\*) we can find a neighborhood  $N_1 = (x_1 - \delta_1, x_1 + \delta_1)$  of  $x_1$  such that if  $y \in Q \cap N_1$ , then  $f(y) < 1$ . Now choose a fixed  $y_1 \in Q \cap N_1 \cap I_0^o$ ; again by (\*) we can find a neighborhood  $M_1 = (y_1 - \eta_1, y_1 + \eta_1)$  of  $y_1$  such that if  $x \in H \cap M_1$ , then  $f(x) < 1$ . Then for all  $t \in M_1 \cap N_1 \cap I_0^o$  it is true that  $f(t) < 1$ . Select a non-trivial closed interval  $I_1 \subseteq M_1 \cap N_1 \cap I_0^o \subseteq I_0$ .

Continuing this process, we obtain a nested sequence  $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \dots$  of closed bounded intervals with  $f(t) < 1/n$  for all  $t \in I_n$ . By the Nested Interval Theorem, there is some  $w \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$ , forcing  $f(w) < 1/n$  for every  $n$ ; hence  $f(w) \leq 0$ , a contradiction.

Also solved by Sheldon Axler, Bill Beckmann, Harold Donnelly, Neal Felsing, Peter Frankl (Hungary), Gary Gunderson, G. A. Heuer, Terjéki József (Hungary), Peter Kuhfittig, Harry Lass, P. L. Montgomery, E. T. Ordman, Wolfe Snow, David Sumner, and the proposer.

*Editorial Comment.* Schelin's construction can be generalized as follows: Suppose that  $M$  is a compact metric space, and that  $Q$  is a subset of  $M$  such that both  $Q$  and its complement  $H$  are dense in every ball of positive radius. Then there cannot exist a strictly positive real-valued function  $f$  on  $M$  such that  $f(x)f(y) \leq d(x, y)$  for every  $x \in Q$  and  $y \in H$ . Schelin's proof is interesting because it does not explicitly use the Baire Category Theorem.

### The Compleat Cyclic Quadrilateral

E 2311 [1971, 793]. *Proposed by Huseyin Demir, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey*

Prove that, if a quadrilateral  $A_1A_2A_3A_4$  can be inscribed in a circle, then the (six) lines drawn from the midpoints of  $A_pA_q$  perpendicular to  $A_rA_s$  ( $p, q, r, s$  distinct) are concurrent.

*Solution by Sister Stephanie Sloyan, Georgian Court College, Lakewood, N.J.* Assume that the circle is the unit circle and identify the point  $A_i$  with the complex number  $a_i$  in the usual manner. Then the line from the midpoint of the segment  $A_pA_q$  perpendicular to  $A_rA_s$  is given by

$$z - a_r a_s \bar{z} = \frac{1}{2}(a_p + a_q) \frac{a_p a_q - a_r a_s}{a_p a_q},$$

and it is easily calculated that all six lines pass through the point  $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)$ . J. W. Clawson, *The complete quadrilateral*, *Annals of Math.* 20 (1918–1919), 232–261, calls this point the *orthic center* of the quadrilateral.

In a similar fashion one can show that the three lines joining the midpoint of  $A_pA_q$  to that of  $A_rA_s$  ( $p, q, r, s$  distinct) are each bisected by a point identified by Clawson as the *mean center* of the quadrilateral. Since the mean center is given by  $\frac{1}{4}(a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4)$ , it follows that it lies halfway between the orthic center and the circumcenter.